




Fig (1): Arena design which used in preference test
(a) = Structure of arena, (b) = Arena during experiment




Fig (2): Photo shows the physical properties (texture, colour, shape and size) of the different varieties of date.

Table (1): Analysis of chemical constituents of tested date cultivars.

Means with the same letters have no significant difference

Date cultivars	Total soluble solids (%)	Acidity (%)	Total sugars (%)	Moisture content (%)	Nitrogen (%)	Lipids (%)	Fiber (%)	Ash (%)
Barhi	76.80 _a	0.192 _a	68.98 _a	14.54 _b	1.42 _f	0.130 _f	4.23 _f	2.14 _b
Barni Al Madina	74.00 _b	0.205 _a	67.02 _a	11.38 _c	3.91 _d	0.141 _f	7.06 _d	2.30 _b
Deglet Noor	74.00 _b	0.156 _b	65.40 _a	13.10 _d	5.12 _b	0.321 _b	9.33 _b	2.31 _b
Rushodia	68.40 _d	0.217 _a	58.78 _c	15.67 _a	2.74 _e	0.143 _f	5.79 _e	1.72 _d
Sukari	71.60 _c	0.156 _b	57.98 _d	14.70 _b	4.67 _c	0.285 _c	8.74 _b	1.73 _d
Ajwa	74.40 _b	0.217 _a	61.98 _b	14.02 _b	5.45 _a	0.380 _a	11.25 _a	3.30 _a
Mabroom	69.60 _c	0.205 _a	57.84 _d	13.87 _c	4.11 _d	0.187 _e	8.19 _c	2.06 _c
Nabtat Ali	71.20 _c	0.192 _a	59.68 _c	14.61 _b	4.99 _b	0.215 _d	8.52 _b	2.23 _b
LSD _{0.05}	2.09	0.027	3.95	0.70	0.299	0.015	0.89	0.24

Table (2): Effect of tested date cultivars on female fecundity of *O.surinamensis*.

Date cultivars	Number of deposited eggs/5females/2weeks (Mean $\pm$ S.E)
Barhi	49.33 $\pm$ 3.2b
Barni Al Madina	16.67 $\pm$ 0.9e
Deglet Noor	6.67 $\pm$ 1.2f
Rushodia	25.00 $\pm$ 1.1d
Sukari	61.67 $\pm$ 1. 7a
Ajwa	2.67 $\pm$ 0.9f
Mabroom	14.00 $\pm$ 0.01e
Nabtat Ali	32.33 $\pm$ 0.9c
L.S.D at 0.05	4.68
L.S.D at 0.01	5.69

Means with the same letters have no significant difference

Table (3): Attraction percentage of *O. surinamensis* adults toward eight dry date cultivars (Choice test).

Date varieties	Percentages of adults attract
Barhi	13.8
Barni Al Madina	11.3
Deglet Noor	3.1
Rushodia	28.8
Sukari	22.5
Ajwa	3.1
Mabroom	4.4
Nabtat Ali	13

Table (4): Measurement of growth index of immature stages of *O. surinamensis* rearing on different dates varieties

Date varieties	Growth Index (Mean $\pm$ S.E)
Barhi	3.7 $\pm$ 0.08
Barni Al Madina	2.7 $\pm$ 0.33
Deglet Noor	0.48 $\pm$ 0.02
Rushodia	3.22 $\pm$ 0.06
Sukari	4.16 $\pm$ 0.03
Ajwa	0.94 $\pm$ 0.01
Mabroom	2.29 $\pm$ 0.32
Nabtat Ali	2.15 $\pm$ 0.1
L.S.D at 0.05	0.504
L.S.D at 0.01	0.898

Table (5): Susceptible index measurement of tested date cultivars towards Saw-toothed beetle.

Date varieties	Total No. of First progeny	period for emergences of 50% First progeny	S.I(%)
Barhi	47±2.08b	28	14.3±0.4a
Barni Al Madina	34±4.5d	35	10±0.4c
Deglet Noor	27.7±3.7d	35	9.4±0.4d
Rushodia	43±2.5b	28	13.4±0.2a
Sukkari	57±1.5a	28	14.5±0.1a
Ajwa	13.67±3.9d	35	7.1±0.03d
Mabroom	24.33± 2.6d	28	11.4±0.4c
Nabtat Ali	39±6.6c	30	12.4±0.3c
L.S.D at 0.05	10.12		1.42
L.S.D at 0.01	13.45		1.95

Means with the same letters have no significant difference

Table (6): Correlation between chemical compositions of tested date cultivars and susceptibility index.

Components (%)	Correlation (r)	significant
Total soluble solids	-0.252	No sig.
Acidity	-0.364	No sig.
Total sugars	-0.238	No sig.
Moisture content	0.714	0.05
Nitrogen	-0.619	No sig.
Lipids	-0.476	No sig.
Fiber	-0.548	No sig.
Ash	-0.833	0.01

Table (7): The percentages of the real weight of the different varieties of dates during storage for six months

Tested Date cultivars \ Months of store	(% of weight loss)						Mean of monthly loss (%)
	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Barhi	2.7	5.5	18	40.4	55.4	62.7	30.8
Barni Al Madina	2.9	4.6	13.7	34.7	48.3	55.2	26.6
Deglet Noor	5.2	7	7.5	10.5	11.3	11.6	8.9
Rushodia	4.9	7	16.9	38.3	49.19	53.5	23.3
Sukkari	5.9	8.8	18.9	36	41.6	43.7	25.8
Ajwa	3.4	3.7	7.1	10.5	16.7	21.5	10.5
Mabroom	1.7	2.2	4.9	18.1	31.3	40	16.4
Nabtat Ali	5.6	8.2	18.7	33	36.7	38.6	23.5